HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-25-41.12 =	122-13-57.32
Oregon [41] Clackamas County [005]		Unknown [00000] 00.7 MI E OF TEN EYCK RD			45.428089	= -122.232589		
06571 002 00404 Highway agency district #Num!		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]			
Route #Num! BULL RUN RD			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted BULL RUN	RIVER	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] Design - O O O		approach	[00]	Year built 1926		constructed N/A	[0000]	
				Skew angle 0 Historical significance		s eligible for the I		
Total length $\boxed{74.7 \text{ m} = 245.1 \text{ ft}}$ Length of maximum span $\boxed{73.2 \text{ m} = 240.2 \text{ ft}}$ Deck width, out-to-out $\boxed{8.3 \text{ m} = 27.2 \text{ ft}}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $\boxed{7 \text{ m} = 23.0 \text{ ft}}$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 7 m = 23.0 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side			ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Epoxy Overlay [5]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 23.6 metric ton = 26.0 tons			
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 39.9 metric ton = 43.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			D	esign Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 150800 Average daily truck traffi 4 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 151900 Year 2033								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.33 m = 17.5 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 846000 Roadway improvement cost 85000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 80 m = 262.5 ft Total project cost 1353000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Fair [5]		deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundation	ons determined to be stable for assess	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection		cted or well vegetated. River control d in a stable condition. [8]	devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not				
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Equal to preser	nt desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 25.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date October 201	6 [1016] Des	signated inspection frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspe	ection date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	October 2016 [1016]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date				