## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2013 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information  |  | Multhomab County (051) |                          |                                       |   | Dertland [E0000]                 |  |   |                           | 45-31-22.93 = | 122-40-03.20   |                  |                          |  |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Oregon [41]  |  | Multhoman County [051] |                          |                                       | Portiand [59000] WILLAN   |                                  | VVILLAIVIETTE R                                    | LAMETTE RIVER MP 12.4   |                           | 45.523036     | = -122.66/556  |                  |                          |  |
| 00511 00000000   |  | Highway agency di      |                          | / district                            | #Num!   | Owner County Highway Agency [02] |  | y Agency [02]   | Μ                         | laintenance   | responsibility | County Highway A | unty Highway Agency [02] |  |
| Route 9326 BURNSIDE BRIDGE   |  |                        |                          |                                       | Toll       On free road [3]       Features intersected       WILLAMETTE |                                  |  | TE RIVER  |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Design -<br>main<br>1  | resign - Steel [3] De<br>april<br>1 Movable - Bascule [16] 2 |                        | Design -<br>approac<br>2 | ign - Steel [3]<br>roach Truss - Deck |   | ]                                | Kilometerpoint<br>Year built 1920<br>Skew angle 99 | erpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi<br>ilt 1926 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]<br>ngle 99 Structure Flared Diside for the NDUD [2] |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Total length     260.9 m = 856.0 ft     Length of maximum span $81.1 m = 266.1 ft$ Deck width out-to-out     26.2 m = 86.0 ft     Bridge roadway width curb-to-curb     20.7 m = 67.1 m = 67. |  |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  |  | urb 20.7 m = 67.9 ft  |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance $20.7 \text{ m} = 67.9 \text{ ft}$ Curb or sidewalk width - left $2.4 \text{ m} = 7.9 \text{ ft}$ Curb or sidewalk width - right $2.4 \text{ m} = 7.9 \text{ ft}$  |  |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  | 2.4 m = 7.9 ft                                     |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]   |  |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  |  |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Type of wearing surface Epoxy Overlay [5]  |  |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  |  |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Deck pro   | otection   |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  |  |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface   |  |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  |  |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Weight Limits  |  |                        |                          |                                       |   |                                  |  |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory  |  | ry rating              | Loa                      | d Factor(LF) [1]                      |   | Inventor                         | ry rating  | 23.6 metric ton =   | = 26.0 tons               |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| 0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rati   |  |                        | ng rating                | Load Factor(LF) [1]                   |   |                                  | Operati  | ng rating   | 39 metric ton = 42.9 tons |               |                |                  |                          |  |
| Bridge posting Equal to or above leg   |  |                        | jal loads [5]            |                                       |   | Design Load Railroad [8]         |  |   |                           |               |                |                  |                          |  |

| Functional Details  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic       56625       Average daily tr  | ruck traffi 10 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 79555 Year 2030   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)  | [14]Lanes on structure6Approach roadway width20.7 m = 67.9 ft   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of service on bridge     Highway-pedestrian [5]     Direction of traffic     2 - way traffic [2]     Bridge median             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]   | Lanes under structure       0       Navigation control       Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Navigation vertical clearance19.5 m = 64.0 ftNavigation horizontal clearance60 m = 196.9 ft   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge     Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway     5.26 m = 17.3 ft |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$   | Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.05 m = 16.6 ft  | Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repair and Replacement Plans  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type of work to be performed   Work done by   Work to be done by contract [1]   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]                                | Bridge improvement cost 2741000 Roadway improvement cost 274000   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Length of structure improvement261 m = 856.3 ftTotal project cost4386000  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Year of improvement cost estimate 2011  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Border bridge - structure number  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Inspection and Sufficiency  |   |  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Open, no res   | triction [A]  | Appraisal ratings -<br>structural  | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition ratings - superstructure  | Satisfactory [6]  | Appraisal ratings -<br>roadway alignment   | Equal to present desirable criteria [8]   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition ratings - substructure  | Fair [5]  | Appraisal ratings -  | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]                |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition ratings - deck  | Good [7]  | deck geometry  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scour   | Bridge is scour critical; bridge                                  | Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Channel and channel protection  | Banks are protected or well ve<br>required or are in a stable cor | Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8] |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac   | y Equal to present desirable cri                                  | teria [8]  | Status  | evaluation Functionally obsolete | [2] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pier or abutment protection   | In place and functioning [2]                                      |  | Sufficie  |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used i   | f structure is not a culvert. [N]                                 |  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - railings  | Inpected feat   | re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]   |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - transition  | S   |  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - approach  | guardrail   |  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic safety features - approach  | guardrail ends  |  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inspection date March 2013 [0313] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months |   |  |   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underwater inspection   | Unknown [Y48]   | Underwater inspec  | ction date Au   | gust 2012 [0812]                 |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fracture critical inspection  | Every two years [Y24]   | Fracture critical ins  | spection date Ma  | arch 2013 [0313]                 |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other special inspection  | Unknown [N00]   | Other special insp   | ection date   |                                  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |