HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-31-03.83 =	122-40-09.44
Oregon [41] Multnomah County [051]			Portland [59000] WILLAMETTE RIVER MP 12.8			45.517731	= -122.669289	
02758 000000000 Highway agency district #Num!		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance		Maintenance r	esponsibility	County Highway Aç	gency [02]	
Route 9350 MORRISON ST			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ed WILLAMET	TE RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1958	xm = 0.0 mi Year reco	onstructed N/A	[0000]	
1 Movable - Bascule [16] 2 Truss		- Deck [09] Skew angle 0		Structure Flared				
				Historical significance	Bridge is	possibly eligible	e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 231.6 m = 759.9 ft Length of maximum span 86.9 m = 285.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 20.9 m = 68.6 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 20.9 m = 68.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m				idth - left 1.5 m = 4.	9 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	4.4 m = 14.4 ft
Deck structure type	Ot	her [9]						
Type of wearing surface Epoxy Overlay [5]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating	28.1 metric ton	= 30.9 tons	
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		perating rating	47.2 metric ton	= 51.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	De	esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details			
Average Daily Traffic 53835 Average daily	truck traffi 10 % Year 2010 Fut	ture average daily traffic	72670 Year 2030
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 6		Approach roadway width 20.9 m = 68.6 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tr	affic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designatio No parallel struct	ure exists. [N]		
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 21 m = 68.9 ft	Navigation horizont	al clearance 57.9 m = 1	90.0 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift l	oridge	Minimum vertical clea	rance over bridge roadway 5.05 m = 16.6 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]		
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$		Minimum lateral undercl	earance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	lerclearance reference fe	eature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]			
Repair and Replacement Plans			
Type of work to be performed	Work done by		
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway in	nprovement cost
	Length of structure improvement		Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate		
	Border bridge - state	В	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number		

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for Ic	Posted for load [P]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined	be unstable. [3]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Finding stream bed movement		mbankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is ig the channel slightly. [6]			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]		Sufficiency rating 53.9			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition						
Traffic safety features - approach						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date March 2015	[0315] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months			
•	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec				
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ction date			