

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Oregon [41]	Douglas County [019]	Oakland [54000]	AT N OAKLAND CITY LIMIT	43-25-31.94 = 43.425539	123-18-11.02 = -123.303061
19C519038800108	Highway agency district 7	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route #Num!	SHADY HWY #388		Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected CALAPOOYA CRK & UPRR	
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach	Concrete continuous [2]	Kilometerpoint	173.8 km = 107.8 mi
1	Truss - Deck [09]	9	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built	1925
				Year reconstructed	N/A [0000]
				Skew angle	0
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]
Total length	144.8 m = 475.1 ft	Length of maximum span	30.5 m = 100.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	6.9 m = 22.6 ft
				Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	5.9 m = 19.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc	5.9 m = 19.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating	19.1 metric ton = 21.0 tons
0.9 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating	30.8 metric ton = 33.9 tons
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	1607	Average daily truck traffi	7	%	Year	2010	Future average daily traffic	2240	Year	2030
Road classification	Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure	1	Approach roadway width	7.3 m = 24.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]	Direction of traffic	One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]		Bridge median					
Parallel structure designatio	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	30.48 m = 100.0 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Railroad beneath structure [R]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	3.1 m = 10.2 ft			Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	6.65 m = 21.8 ft		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Railroad beneath structure [R]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost	1521000	Roadway improvement cost	152000						
	Length of structure improvement	145 m = 475.7 ft		Total project cost	2434000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2011								
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	43.3
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	April 2015 [0415]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspection date	June 2016 [0616]
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	April 2015 [0415]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	