HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 43-58-50.59 = 122-57-59.36							
Oregon [41] Lane County [039]		Unknown [00000] 02.5 MI E HWY 001 JCT		43-36-30.39 =	= -122.966489		
05286 018 00246 Highway agency district 5			Owner State Highway A	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 58 OR 58 (HWY 018)			Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected COAST FK	WILLAMETTE R	
Design - Steel [3]		0	rete continuous [2]	Kilometerpoint 395	.9 km = 245.5 mi		
			eam [04]	Year built 1950	Year reconstructed N/A [0000]		
1 Truss - Thru [10] 6		o ree b	eam [04]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
			Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the N	NRHP. [2]		
Total length 133.5 m = 438.0 ft Length of maximum span 54.9 m = 180.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.5 m = 34.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 9.1 m = 29.9 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidew		ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or sim		nilar additive [3]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load and Resistance	Load and Resistance Factor Rating (L Inventory ra		= 19.6 tons		
1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load and Resistance	e Factor Rating (L Ope	erating rating 23.3 metric ton	= 25.6 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]							

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 14500 Average daily t	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2014 Fut	ture average daily traffic	12600 Year 2033	3			
Road classification) [02] Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	h 9.1 m = 29.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge	Minimum vertical clearan	ce over bridge roadway	4.88 m = 16.0 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A		Minimum lateral underclear	ance on left 0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway impro	ovement cost				
	Length of structure improvement	Tot	al project cost 0				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Borde	er bridge - percent respons	ibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to preser	it desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection	In place and fur	nctioning [2]	Sufficiency rating 37.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2015 [0	515] Des	signated inspection frequency 24	4 Months				
•	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date May 2013 [0513]				
	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date				