HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2017 Inventor

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										11-56-13 73 -	123-02-34 90
Oregon [41]		Marion County [047]		Salem [6	Salem [64900]		IN SALEM				= -123.043028
07253B030 02595		Highway agency district 3		Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 22 OR 22 (HWY 30) WB				/B	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Fe	eatures intersec	ted WILLAMET	TE RIVER	
Design - Steel continuous [4] main			Design - approach	Concrete continuous [2]		Kilometerp Year built	oint 416	9.8 km = 2585. Year red	3 mi constructed 1984		
4 Girder and floorbeam system [03]			31	Tee beam [04]		Skew angle	e 0	Structure F	lared Yes, fla	red [1]	
					Historical s	Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the			ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 729.4 m = 2393.2 ft Length of maximum span 76.8 m = 252.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 20 m = 65.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 17.1 m = 56.1 ft											
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 7.9 m = 25.9 ft			.9 ft C	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.7 m = 5.6 ft			ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Plac			in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			Reinforcing [1]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]			ric [2]								
1											
Weight Lir	nits										
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determine inventory rating0.2 km = 0.1 miMethod to determine operating rating			rmine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	entory rating	14.5 metric ton =	= 16.0 tons	
			rating Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Ope	Operating rating 24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Des	ign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 43200 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 4 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 53800 Year 2033								
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other Freewa	ays or ExpLanes on structure4Approach roadway width17.1 m = 56.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designatio The left structure of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]									
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-railroad [Lanes under structure 8 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]									
Navigation vertical clearance 32.9 m = 107.9 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 57 m = 187.0 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.49 m = 18.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	ailroad beneath structure [R]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3 m = 9.8 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 5.08 m = 16.7 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because	Bridge improvement cost17963000Roadway improvement cost1796000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement802 m = 2631.4 ftTotal project cost28740000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Ap stru	praisal ratings - uctural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Ap roa	praisal ratings - adway alignment	Equal to pre	present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	A	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	de							
Scour	Bridge is scour c	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection i Banks and/or ch	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	ual to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection	None present bu	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]			Sufficiency rating	46.8			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culve	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature m	neets currently acce	ptable standard	ds. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature m	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	Inpected feature m	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	Inpected feature m	e meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date September 2015 [0915] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24] Underwater inspection date July 2016 [0716]									
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical inspection dat		September 201	5 [0915]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	needed [N]		ection date					