HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-27-51.62 =	122-39-54.76
Oregon [41] Multnomah County [051]			Portland [59000] PORTLAND				45.464339	= -122.665211
06879 000 00000 Highway agency district #Num!		Owner County Highway	ner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway Aç	gency [02]	
Route 9704	TACO	MA STREET	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	cted WILLAMET	TE RIVER	
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Dec	k [09]	Design - approach 24 Mixed	ete [1] types [20]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1925 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 1960 lared s eligible for the N		
Total length 601.1 m = 1972.2 ft Length of maximum span 91.4 m = 299.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.3 m = 4.3 ft								
Deck structure type								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi	Wethou to determine	ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	0 metric ton = 0.		
Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % below [2]		Load Factor(LF) [1] w [2]		Operating rating Design Load MS	0 metric ton = 0. 13.5 / HS 15 [3]	U tons		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 33495 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 46108 Year 2030							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	road [Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 22.9 m = 75.1 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 91.4 m = 299.9 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 30.48 m = 100.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.6 m = 2.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0.5 m = 1.6 ft								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 6946000 Roadway improvement cost 695000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 661 m = 2168.7 ft Total project cost 11114000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suf	fficiency									
Structure status	Open, tempo loads [E]	orary structure in place to ca	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intol	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment								
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intol	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck Fair		Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour		Bridge is scour critic	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection			Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to present de	sirable criteria [8]	Sta	atus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection		None present but re	-evaluation suggested [5]	Su	ufficiency rating	2				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety featu	ıres - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date	3 [0113] Designa	ated inspection frequency 24	Mont	ths						
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspe	r inspection date September 2008 [0908]		8 [0908]					
'		Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical in	nspection date						
Other special insp	pection	Unknown [N00]	Other special ins	pection date						