HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							45-36-41.76 =	121-07-20.58
Oregon [41] Wasco County [065]		Unknown [00000] 0.5 MI. E. OF OL		OLD HWY		45.611600	= -121.122383	
308000000000 Highway agency district 9		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 0	0 VIEWPOINT ROAD			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FIFTEEN M			MILE CREEK	
Design - Concrete comain 6 Stringer/Mu	ontinuous [2] Iti-beam or girder [02	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1919 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F		[0000] NRHP. [2]	
Total length 72.5 m = 237.9 ft Length of maximum span 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7 m = 23.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.9 m = 19.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.9 m = 19.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type		ce [1]	0111 = 0.0	ıı	Curb or side	ewaik width - fight	0 III = 0.0 It	
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		entory rating	29 metric ton =	31.9 tons	
5.2 km = 3.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		erating rating	48.1 metric ton = 52.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]	De	esign Load M 9	/ H 10 [1]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 56 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 81 Year 2030								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.8 m = 19.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 30.48 m = 100.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Equal to present desirable cr	riteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 92.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns								
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2012 [0812] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	pection date							