HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 42-20-24 = 082-59-47 = -									082-59-47 = -				
Michigan [26]		Wayne County [163]			Detroit [22000]		DETROI	DETROIT RIVER AT BELLE IS			42.340000	82.996389	
824180800512B01		Highway agency district 7		Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency			Agency [04]	Maintenanc	e responsibility	City or Municipal I	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 2000 BELLE ISLE TRAFFIC				Toll On f	ree road [3]	F	eatures interse	ected DETROIT F	RIVER				
Design - mainConcrete [1]20Other [00]			Design - approach 0	Other [00]			Year buil Skew ang	Kilometerpoint 26.7 km = 16.6 mi Year built 1929 Year reconstructed 1998 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared 1998					
Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1] Total length 698.4 m = 2291.5 ft Length of maximum span 41.1 m = 134.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 26.8 m = 87.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 18.6 m =								curb 18.6 m = 61.0 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 18.7 m = 61.4 ft				Curb or sidewalk width - left 3.1 m =			3.1 m = 10	.2 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	3.1 m = 10.2 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place				:e [1]	[1]								
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or sim				ilar additive [3]									
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			cing [1]										
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight Li	mits												
			mine inventory rating		Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inv	Inventory rating 46.1 me		tric ton = 50.7 tons		
15.9 km = 9.9 mi Method to determine operating rating			g rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]			Ор	Operating rating 69.9 metric ton = 76.9 tons					
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				gal loads [5]			Des	Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3978 Average daily tru	ck traffi 1 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 7160 Year 2025							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure6Approach roadway width18.9 m = 62.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	geMinimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlim	ited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
	Bridge improvement cost63852000Roadway improvement cost461000							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost 64313000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Superior to present desirable criteria [9]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations detern required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is equired. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	cy Equal to present desirab	le criteria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 50						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected	I feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected	d feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected	feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date June 2009 [0609] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date April 2009 [0409]						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	nspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						