HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-16-11 =	085-03-41 = -
Michigan [26] Calhoun County [025]			Emmet [25920] SEC 25 EMMETT TOWNSHIP			42-10-11 = 42.269722	85.061389	
13200079000B010 Highway agency district: 5		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 0 12 MILE ROAD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected KALAMAZO		OO RIVER				
main		Design - approach Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1925 Skew angle 0	1185.6 km = 735. Year re Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significa	ance Bridge	s on the NRHP. [
Total length 35 m = 1	114.8 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	oan 17.6 m = 57.7 ft	Deck width, out-	to-out $7.4 \text{ m} = 24.3$	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	eurb $6.3 \text{ m} = 20.7 \text{ ft}$
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	6.3 m = 20.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0 m =	0.0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	No	ot applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
		ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	30.7 metric ton	= 33.8 tons	
1.1 km = 0.7 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	51.3 metric ton	= 56.4 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS	3 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 968 Average daily tra	ıck traffi 12 % Year 1995 Future average daily traffic	1732 Year 2023					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	,					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clea	arance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fe	eature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway in	mprovement cost					
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	n ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge with "unkr	dge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	68.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date October 2007 [1007] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					