The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]	Berrien County [02	1]	Paw Paw Lake [63020]	1.0 MI N OF WAT	ERVLIET		0.000000	0.000000
11200106000B010 Highway agency district 5		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 0	NW	ATERVLIET RD	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted PAW PAW	LAKE OUTLET	
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Arch - Deck [7]	11]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1916 Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F		[0000]	
				Historical significa		s on the NRHP. [1]	
Total length $13.7 \text{ m} = 4$	14.9 ft Le	ength of maximum sp	an 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Deck width, out-	to-out $7.3 \text{ m} = 24.0$	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	curb $6.7 \text{ m} = 22.0 \text{ ft}$
Inventory Route, Total H	ce 6.7 m = 22.0 ft	0.0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]								
Type of wearing surface Not applicable (app		Not applicable (applie	s only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Deck protection Not applicable (appli		es only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applie		es only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to deter	mine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2]	Inventory rating	19.1 metric ton	= 21.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating	25.5 metric ton	5.5 metric ton = 28.1 tons	
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	ow [2]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 1407 Average daily tra	uck traffi 4 % Year 1997 Future average daily traffic 2090 Year 2017					
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Total project cost					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1992					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - substructure	ondition ratings - substructure Serious [3]		Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	ons determined to be stable for the as	ssessed or calculated scour condition	n. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		ank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. anks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Superior		sent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acc						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 1998 [0	598] Des	ignated inspection frequency 2	4 Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N24]	Underwater insp	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection Unknown [N24]		Fracture critical						
Other special inspection Unknown [N24]		Other special in:	spection date					