HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-38-56 =	078-11-57 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Blair County [013]	Ty	yrone [78176]	.2 MI.NW.OF BIRI	MINGHAM		40.648889	78.199167
77214051230480 Highway agency district: 9		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 TWF	ee road [3] Features intersected LITTLE JUNIATA RIVER						
Design - Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cas Iron [9] Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach Other [00])]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1898 Skew angle 0	Structure F		a NIDHD [5]	
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 44.2 m = 145.0 ft Length of maximum span 43.3 m = 142.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft							
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [8] Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]							
Deck protection Not applicable (applies		es only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]							
Weight Limits							
10 0 km – 12 3 mi		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]				metric ton = 13.0 tons	
Bridge posting				Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 27 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 55 Year 2027					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.4 m = 11.2 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 55 m = 180.5 ft Total project cost 2000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 44.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2008 [0808] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in	spection date					
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date								