

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]		Cumberland County [041]		Upper Allen [78736]		YORK#249/.5S BOWMANSDALE		40-09-31 = 40.158611		076-58-36 = - 76.976667	
14048		Highway agency district 8		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0		BISHOP ROAD T-612		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected YELLOW BREECHES CREEK					
Design - main		Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9]		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi					
1		Truss - Thru [10]		0		Other [00]		Year built 1898		Year reconstructed N/A [0000]	
								Skew angle 0		Structure Flared	
								Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]			
Total length		40.8 m = 133.9 ft		Length of maximum span		40.2 m = 131.9 ft		Deck width, out-to-out		4.9 m = 16.1 ft	
								Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb		4.5 m = 14.8 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance		4.5 m = 14.8 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left		0.2 m = 0.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - right		0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]									
Type of wearing surface											
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length		Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating		18.1 metric ton = 19.9 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi		Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Operating rating		27.2 metric ton = 29.9 tons	
Bridge posting				Design Load					

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	145	Average daily truck traffi	1	%	Year	2011	Future average daily traffic	193	Year	2032
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	1		Approach roadway width	6.1 m = 20.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4 m = 13.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	0	Roadway improvement cost	0
	Length of structure improvement	50 m = 164.1 ft	Total project cost	1000
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	32.2
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	October 2011 [1011]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection date	October 2010 [1010]
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date	October 2010 [1010]