HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					41-15-28.80 =	078-43-36.84
Pennsylvania [42]	Elk County [047]	Horton [35816]	420 FT SOUTH OF SR 219		41.258000	= -78.726900
15740	Highway agency district: 2	Owner Town or Towns	hip Highway Agency [03] Maintenanc	e responsibility T	own or Township	Highway Agency [03
Route 0	T-331 BOGGY RUN	RD Toll On fro	ee road [3] Features interse	ected LITTLE TOBY (CREEK	
Design - Concrete [1 main Frame [07]	approach	Other [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1911 Year re Skew angle 20 Structure	econstructed N/A [000	00]	
			Historical significance Bridge	is eligible for the NRH	IP. [2]	
Total length 23.8 m =	= 78.1 ft Length of maximu	m span 23.5 m = 77.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 5.9 m = 19.	4 ft Bridge roadwa	y width, curb-to-cu	urb 5.1 m = 16.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance 5.1 m = 16.7	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sidewal	lk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-ir	ı-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	ce Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/we	aring surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory ra	ating	Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton = 36	5.0 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determine operating r	ating	Operating rating	49 metric ton = 53.9	tons	
	Bridge posting Equal to or about	ove legal loads [5]	Design Load M	S 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 278 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic	389 Year 2037						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 78000 Roadway impr	rovement cost 264000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 30 m = 98.4 ft To	tal project cost 1047000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Bord	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suffic	ciency								
Structure status (Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal rating structural	Somewh is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - su	ings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal rating roadway alignm	10	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair		Fair [5]		Appraisal rating	gs - Basically	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck Fair		Fair [5]		deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection			Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		ey Equal t	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	65.9		
		if structure is n	oot a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety feature	· ·								
Traffic safety feature									
Traffic safety feature	• •		-						
Traffic safety feature					24	N.A N.			
Inspection date September 2017 [0917] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		_	Underwater inspection date						
·		Not needed [N							
Other Special Inspec	i vot nocucu [IV	Other special inspection date							