HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-37-42.86 =	076-07-28.41
Pennsylvania [42]	Schuylkill County [107]	Landingville [41264]	BORO OF LANDING	VILLE		40.628572	= -76.124558
30789	Highway age	ency district: 5	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance re	sponsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 0	SR	2011 (LR 53074)	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	eatures intersected	SCHUYLKII	LL RIVER	
Design - Concrete [1] main 2 Arch - Deck		Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Year built 1923 Skew angle 0	Structure Flan			
				Historical significance		ot eligible for t		
Total length 44.5 m =	= 146.0 ft L	ength of maximum sp	21.3 m = 69.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	ut 8.2 m = 26.9 ft	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	arb = 6.2 m = 20.3 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearan	ce $6.2 \text{ m} = 20.3 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface	ce	Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	o deck) [N]				
Deck protection		Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	o deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/we	aring surface	Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	o deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to dete	rmine inventory rating	ı	Inv	ventory rating 1	9.1 metric ton :	= 21.0 tons	
1.6 km = 1.0 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating rating]	Op	perating rating $\frac{1}{3}$	2.7 metric ton :	= 36.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	egal loads [5]	De	esign Load			

Functional Details			
Average Daily Traffic 888 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic	1217 Year 2032	
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]		
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control		
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A		
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearar	nce over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]		
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclea	rance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feat	reature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]			
Repair and Replacement Plans			
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 124000 Roadway impr	rovement cost 364000	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 55 m = 180.5 ft To	th of structure improvement 55 m = 180.5 ft Total project cost 1669000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate		
	Border bridge - state Bord	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number		

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment prote debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 49.8					
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach	9							
Inspection date September 2018 [0918] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date						