HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							40-00-27 =	075-42-44 = -
Pennsylvania [42]		Chester County [029]		Downingtown [19752]	DOWNINGTOWN 30F02		40.007500	75.712222	
10189 Highway agency district 6		ency district 6	Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 322		MA	ANOR AVENUE	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BEAVER C		REEK		
main	Concrete [1 Tee beam [Design - approach Oth	ner [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1934 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A		
Total length 19.5 m = 64.0 ft Length of maximum span 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11.1 m = 36.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.1 m = 36.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.4 m = 7.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.4 m = 7.9 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.1 m = 36.4 ft Curb or sidew Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]					ndin - ieit	= 7.911	Cuib of Sid	ewaik widin - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck prote									
Type of me	embrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Li	mits								
71	Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		ermine inventory rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		atory rating 31.8 metric ton = 35.0 tons		
I KM = 0.			ng Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 53.5 n		tric ton = 58.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]						Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 12659 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 15519 Year 2032									
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.3 m = 37.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 26 m = 85.3 ft Total project cost 1000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limit	s to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour crit	tical; bridge foundations determined t	to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than preser	nt minimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	60.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	s In	pected feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail In	pected feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date June 2011 [0	611] Desig	nated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection								
•	Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspecial	ection date					