The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-48-18 =	076-00-31 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	hester County [029)]	Lower Oxford [45040]	CAMP TWEEDAL	E GSA 43B11		39.805000	76.008611	
153028004000000 Highway agency district 6			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route 0	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LEECH RUN								
Design - main			Kilometerpoint 328.9 km = 203.9 mi Year built 1924 Year reconstructed N/A Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the						
Total length 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Length of maximum span 11 m = 36.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft									
Inventory Route, Total He	orizontal Clearance	4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		Curb or sidev	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis p	erformed [5]	Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton =	36.0 tons			
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis p	No rating analysis performed [5]		54.4 metric ton =	59.8 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 281 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 300 Year 2012									
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
D : 10 1 10										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
ropidosinonio. [co]	Length of structure improvement 18 m = 59.1 ft Total project cost 0									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolera	s [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	- Appraisar ratings	Basically intolerable r	nent [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status eva	luation Functionally obsolete	P [2]					
Pier or abutment protection				rating 70.9						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Inpected fea	ture meets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date April 2008 [0408] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date										
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							