The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation									39-46-02 =	075-45-31 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Chester County [029]			New Ga	New Garden [53608] LONDON BRITAIN 54H			54H05		39.767222	75.758611	
157015030902630 Highway agency district 6			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	Agency [02]			
Route 0 WATSON MILL ROAD			.D	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BROAD RUN					N		
main		Design - approach  2] 0 C			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1915 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]  Skew angle 28 Structure Flared  Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]						
Total length 10.1 m = 33.1 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.5 m = 21.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft											
Deck struct	ture type		Concrete Cast-ir	n-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]											
Deck protect	ction										
Type of me	embrane/wea	aring surface									
Weight Lim	nits										
31	etour length	Method to dete	mine inventory ra	ating Lo	Load Testing [4]		In	Inventory rating 25.4 metric ton = 27.9 tons			
0.8  km = 0	).5 MI	Method to dete	rmine operating ra	ating Lo	Load Testing [4]		O	Operating rating 57.2 metric ton = 62.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]						

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 966 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 424 Year 2010						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 12 m = 39.4 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for I	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits	s to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations de required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is bei channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Better than present n	ninimum criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	57.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N	]							
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends								
Inspection date July 2009 [0	709] Designat	ed inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special insp	ection date						