The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								39-42-36 =	075-52-44 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	nnsylvania [42] Chester County [029]		Kennett [39344] KENNETT TOWN		OWNSHIP	47D08		39.710000	75.878889
157015033703200 Highway agency district 6		ict 6 Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0 HILLENDALE RD;T337 Toll On t				ree road [3] Features intersected WEST BR RED CLAY CREEK					
Design - Main  Steel [3]  Girder and to	Design approach appro			L	0	Structure F		[0000] not determinable at the	nis time. [4]
Total length 19.8 m = 65.0 ft Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.6 m = 18.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.3 m = 17.4 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft						0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	aring surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		entory rating Load	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Invent	tory rating	22.7 metric ton	= 25.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating		erating rating Load	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Opera	ating rating	38.1 metric ton	= 41.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Desig	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3066 Average daily tra	uck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 3500 Year 2020							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
actorioration of intaacquate strongth [50]	Length of structure improvement 27 m = 88.6 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	on ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status e	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficier	ncy rating	73.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date May 2008 [0508] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec							
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special inspection date May 2009 [0509]							