The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-58-39 =	078-32-49 = -		
Pennsylvania [42] Cl	learfield County [033	3]	Pike [60192]	1000 FT E OF SR	FT E OF SR 879		40.977500	78.546944		
177226020600050 Highway agency district 2			Owner Town or Townsl	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0	T-206		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ANDERSO			CREEK			
Design - Main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [1]	10]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1930 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure FI	constructed N/A [I				
Total length 22.6 m = 74.2 ft Length of maximum span 21.6 m = 70.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or si			Curb or side	walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft		
Deck structure type Open Grating [3]										
Type of wearing surface										
Deck protection										
Type of membrane/wearing surface										
Weight Limits										
Bypass, detour length $0.1 \text{ km} = 0.1 \text{ mi}$ Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating		metric ton = 11.0 tons 9 metric ton = 12.0 tons				
Bridge posting					Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 60 Average daily truc	ck traffi % Year 1985 Future average daily traffic 75 Year 2027								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Description of Description									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 28 m = 91.9 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Bridge closed	Appraisal ratings - structural								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Imminent Failure [1]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	ondition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined t	to be unstable. [[3]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. If minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	St	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sı	ufficiency rating	28.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date October 2009 [1009] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
•	Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspection date February 2						
•	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical inspection date		[0203]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						