## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-37-59.52 =	076-10-26.75
Pennsylvania [42] Schuylkill County [107]		Schuylkill Haven [68312] BROADWAY STREET IN SCHUL.			40.633200	= -76.174097		
31014	Highway agenc	y district: 5	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route #Num!	BROA	DWAY,GAS,WATE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	schuylki	LL RIVER	
Design - Concrete [1 arch - Deck		Design - approach  Other	[00]	Year built 1929		constructed		
				Skew angle 0  Historical significanc		s not eligible for t		
			an 21.3 m = 69.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-			dway width, curb-to-cu	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	6.4 m = 21.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $1.5 \text{ m} = 4$	4.9 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	ce Me	onolithic Concrete (	(concurrently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ir	nventory rating	68 metric ton =	74.8 tons	
15.9 km = 9.9 mi	Method to determi	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	O	perating rating	83.5 metric ton	= 91.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	D	esign Load M 9	/ H 10 [1]		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 400 Average daily to	ruck traffi % Year 1985 Future average daily traffic	800 Year 1987				
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clears	ance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	mum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fea	ture Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Denois and Denlessment Disease						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 32000 Roadway imp	provement cost 93000				
actorio anon or managamic on origina [55]	Length of structure improvement  48 m = 157.5 ft  Total project cost  426000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state Bor	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	_ Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroc channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 59.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used  Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - transition	15								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach	9								
Inspection date May 2018 [0518] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date						