HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

30997 Route 0 Design - Steel [3] main 2 Girder and floorb Total length 43.3 m = 142.	huylkill County [107] Highway agency district: 5 TUNNEL RD Design -		ON T-837 IN LANDING al Highway Agency [04]	VILLE Maintenance responsibility	40-37-19.19 = 40.621997	076-07-08.76 = -76.119100
Route 0 Design - Steel [3] main 2 Girder and floorb Total length 43.3 m = 142.	TUNNEL RD		0 , 0 , 1	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal H	
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Girder and floorb Total length 43.3 m = 142.		Toll On fre	1.[0]		City of Mullicipal II	ighway Agency [04]
main 2 Girder and floorb Total length 43.3 m = 142.	Design -		ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected SCHUYL	KILL RIVER	
<u> </u>	approach of their [03] of their [03]	[00]	Year built 1925 Skew angle 0	Year reconstructed N Structure Flared		
	2.1 ft Length of maximum spar rizontal Clearance 5.5 m = 18.0 ft	n 21 m = 68.9 ft Curb or sidewalk w	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-out vidth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		oadway width, curb-to-c sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface	Wood or Timber [8] Wood or Timber [7]					
Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing	ng surface					
0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]	Open		on = 12.0 tons on = 21.0 tons	

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 135 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 1980 Future average daily traffic	500 Year 2030
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical cleara	ance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclea	arance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fea	ture Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Denois and Denless west Disease		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 23000 Roadway imp	provement cost 67000
actorior and rest in address of original [20]	Length of structure improvement 43 m = 141.1 ft	otal project cost 307000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state Bor	rder bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P] Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	e foundations determined	to be unstable. [3	3]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment prote debris are in the channel. [4]	ction is severely undermir	ned. River contro	ol devices have sev	ere damage. Large deposits c	lf	
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present desirable co	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection			Sut	officiency rating	18.3		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date November 2	018 [1118] Designated insp	ection frequency 24	Month	hs			
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24]		Underwater inspec		August 2018 [081			
·	Every two years [Y24]						
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	November 2018 [1118]		1118]		