## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-57-47.15 =	076-22-21.00
Pennsylvania [42]	nsylvania [42] Columbia County [037]		Main [46688]	Main [46688] 0.9 MI S OF MAINVI			40.963097	= -76.372500
12824 Highway agency district: 3 C		Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		e responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		gency [02]	
Route 0 T-468 COUNTY BR#42		Toll On f	Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected CATAWISSA CREEK			
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach Oth	ner [00]	Year built 1903		constructed 201	3	
				Skew angle 0 Historical significan		s eligible for the		
Total length 69.2 m	= 227.0 ft Len	gth of maximum	span 67.1 m = 220.2 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out 4.2 m = 13.8	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	4.1  m = 13.5  ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft		Curb or sidewalk	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewa			ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft	
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surfa  Deck protection		pen Grating [3]						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt 15.9 km = 9.9 mi	Bypass, detour length 15.9 km = 9.9 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			` ' '		ry rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons  ng rating 59 metric ton = 64.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 120 Average daily tra	uck traffi 0 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 186 Year 2039							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.6 m = 24.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.54 m = 14.9 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 126000 Roadway improvement cost 372000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 69 m = 226.4 ft Total project cost 1706000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determing required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable of	criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 69.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	s Inpected fe	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail Inpected fe	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpected fe	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date April 2017 [0-	Designated insp	pection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date April 2017 [0417]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					