The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 40-09-29 = 075-39-10 = -									
Pennsylvania [42]	Chester County	y [029]	East Vincent [22000] E.& W.VINCENT TWP. 06E13				40.158056	75.652778	
157015050201960 Highway agency district 6			Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			esponsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 0 BERTOLET SCHOOL RD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FRENCH CREEK									
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru	ı [10]	Design - approach O Other	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1905 Year reconstructed 1982 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the N				HP. [2]		
Total length 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Length of maximum span 27.1 m = 88.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.3 m = 14.1 ft									
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clea	4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	t	Curb or sidewa	lk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
		letermine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 1	0.9 metric ton = 12	2.0 tons		
19.9 km = 12.3 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 1	6.3 metric ton = 17	7.9 tons		
Bridge posting			Des	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]					

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 183 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 200 Year 2012									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1] Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Don't and Double would Bloom										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 34 m = 111.6 ft Total project cost 1000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			ria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	perior to present desirable criteria [9]			Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sı	ufficiency rating	29.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2008 [0908] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	September 200	8 [0908]				
· ·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special inspe	September 2009 [090		9 [0909]				