The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation													40-09-18 =	075-42-50 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Chester Co			er County	County [029]			Warwick [81160] WARWICK;E.NA			C;E.NANT	ANTMEAL 12F01			40.155000	75.713889
157015042401990			Highway agency district 6				Owner County Highway Agency [02]			2]	Mainter	nance res	oonsibility	County Highwa	y Agency [02]
Route 0 WAF			WARW	RWICK FURNACE RD			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected			tersected	SOUTH BR	P.FRENCH CREEK	<		
Design - Muminum, Wrought Iron or Callron [9] Truss - Thru [10]			Cast	Design - approach Other [00]			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1889 Year reconstructed 1987 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]								
Total length 17.7 m = 58.1 ft Length of maximum span 16.8 m = 55.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15									to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft						
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft				Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0			.0 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft				
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]															
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]															
Deck prote	Deck protection														
Type of m	embrane/we	earing s	surface												
Weight Li	mits														
J.				etermir	ermine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory rati	ng 7.3	metric ton =	8.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to dete			determine operating rating			Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]		(Operating rat	ing 11.	11.8 metric ton = 13.0 tons	= 13.0 tons		
Bridge posting								Design Load	M 13.5	/ H 15 [2]					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 485 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2002 Future ave	rage daily traffic 400 Year 1996							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Nav	vigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal cleara	ince 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minin Minin	num vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Danair and Danis coment Diana									
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by Medita by days by control [4]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 22 m = 73	2.2 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically into							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into							
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	St	itatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection					22.8	_				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2008 [0808] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	August 2008 [08	308]					
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	•	August 2004 [08						
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special insp	ection date	August 2009 [08	309]					