The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							40-04-24 =	075-48-54 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Ch		Chester County [029]		West Brandywine [82576] 1MI.NORTH TR-322 19A02			40.073333	75.815000	
150082055221890		Highway age	ncy district 6	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route 82 MANOR ROA			NOR ROAD	Toll On free	road [3]	Features interse	cted INDIAN RU	V	
Design - main Masonry [8] Design - approach Arch - Deck [11] 0		approach	or [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1910 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 2009			
Total length 12.8 m = 42.0 ft Length of maximum span 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7 m = 23.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck struc	٠.		Not applicable [N]	ios only to structuros with no d	ock) [N]				
31 0			ies only to structures with no deck) [N] ies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applies			ies only to structures with no d	eck) [N]					
Weight Li	mits								
Bypass, o	detour length 0.7 mi	ength Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis performed [5] No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory rating 37.2 metric ton = 40.9 tons Operating rating 62.6 metric ton = 68.9 tons			
		Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6732 Average daily to	uck traffi 8 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic	5722 Year 2013						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	nce over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway impr	rovement cost 0						
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 19 m = 62.3 ft Total	otal project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Bord	der bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critic	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better the in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 75.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	ction date								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						