The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-02-21 =	075-42-24 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Chester County [0:	29]	Uwchlan [79480]	NEAR DOWLIN	20F09		40.039167	75.706667
157239015000010 Highway agency district 6			Owner Town or Townsh	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 0 DOWLIN FORGE ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SHAMONA CREEK								
Design - Masonry [8] main  Arch - Deck		Design - approach  0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1915 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A [00] Flared is not eligible for the		
Total length 13.1 m = 43.0 ft Length of maximum span 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.5 m = 21.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.4 m = 17.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.4 m = 17.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or sidew	alk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft	
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface Not applicable (applie		es only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Deck protection Not applicable (applie		es only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applie			es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	Inventory rating	32.7 metric ton = 3	36.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5]	Operating rating	49 metric ton = 53	.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 400 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 1980 Future average daily traffic	c 560 Year 2000					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.2 m = 17.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical cle	earance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Danais and Danlessmant Dlans							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway	improvement cost 0					
dotonoration of indusquate strongth [50]	Length of structure improvement 16 m = 52.5 ft	Total project cost 0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		ria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to preser	ria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection					77.9			
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2008 [0808] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	ears [Y24] Underwater inspe		August 2008 [08	808]			
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspection date						