The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation													20 /0	3-09 =	075-54-17 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Chester Cour			ter County	y [029]		Pe	Penn [58808]			L.OXFORD;PENN TWPS.44G12)2500	75.904722
157015032200350			Highway agency district 6			O	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			2]	Mair	ntenance	responsibility	County I	Highway .	Agency [02]
Route 0				ELKVII	EW ROAD		Toll On free road [3] Features in				s intersec	ted BIG ELK	CREEK			
Design - Aluminum, Wrought Iron or Callron [9] Truss - Thru [10]			Cast	Design - approach	approach			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1892 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]								
Total length 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Length of maximum span 15.8 m = 51.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft																
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]												0 III				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]																
Deck prote	Deck protection															
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]				ric [2]												
Weight Li	mits															
0.6 km = 0.4 mi			letermi	etermine inventory rating etermine operating rating			Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory Operating Design Lo	g rating	9.1 metric tor 15.4 metric to 3.5 / H 15 [2]	n = 10.0 tons on = 16.9 tons			
Design Load WI 15.57 H 15 [2]																

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 126 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 6 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 500 Year 2000								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
spiesesmente [ee]	Length of structure improvement 20 m = 65.6 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	to be left in place as is [4]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intole	igh priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry									
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]									
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	Sta	tus evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	ficiency rating	28.2						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]											
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transition	ns										
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends											
Inspection date May 2009 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Month	ns							
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	May 2006 [0506	b]						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins	spection date								
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date								