The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-56-48 =	075-46-48 = -
Pennsylvania [42] C	hester County [029]]	East Fallowfield [21104] MORTONVILLE 29F12/3580F09			39.946667	75.780000	
153062014001430 Highway agency district 6		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Ag	ency [01]			
Route 0	STRA	SBURG ROAD	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features intersect	ed W.BR.BRANI	DYWINE CREEK	
Design - Masonry [8] main 4 Arch - Deck [1	1]	Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1826 Skew angle 0 Historical significan	Structure Fla	onstructed 2009 ared	RHP. [2]	
Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2] Total length 67.1 m = 220.2 ft Length of maximum span 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9 m = 29.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]								
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			orcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 1.9 km = 1.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,	32.7 metric ton = 54.4 metric ton =			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			egal loads [5]		Design Load M 13	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6215 Average daily t	uck traffi 9 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic	300 Year 1993						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	dge Minimum vertical clear	rance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway im	nprovement cost 0						
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 60 m = 196.9 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2003							
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour cri	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present of	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 73.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culver	t. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Ir	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Ir	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Ir	npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date January 2010 [0110] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					