The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation												39-45-44 =	075-55-14 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Chester County [029]			E	East Nottingham [21624] NEAR HICKORY HILL 52D06					39.762222	75.920556				
153020002000000 Highway ag			agency	gency district 6		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility				State Highway Agency [01]				
Route 0 SAGINAW ROAD				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BIG ELK CF						CREEK				
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach	Other [00]			Kilometerpoint 79 km = 49.0 mi  Year built 1925 Year reconstructed 1974  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]							
	Route, Tota	= 75.1 ft I Horizontal Cle	arance		.1 ft	Cu	= 73.2 ft rb or sidewalk w	Deck wid		o-out 4.9 m		ft Bridge roa	adway width, curb-to- dewalk width - right	curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface  Concrete Cast-in-Place  Monolithic Concrete (e				te (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]										
Deck protection Galvanized Reinfo			inforcing	[2]										
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surface												
Weight Li	mits													
Bypass, detour length Method to determine			ine inventory rating Load F		d Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory ra	ting	27.2 metric tor	n = 29.9 tons			
0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine ope			ne operating	erating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]					Operating ra	ating	39 metric ton = 42.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above le				bove lega	al loads [5]			Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]						

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 743 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 710 Year 2013								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
Topiassinomor [00]	Length of structure improvement 30 m = 98.4 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pr	esent minimum crite	ria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. I minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	44.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date   July 2009 [0709] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y48]	Underwater inspec	ction date	June 2005 [060	5]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Other special inspection date								