The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										40-04-09 =	079-53-49 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Washington County [1	125]	Coal Center [14568] 100'			00' FROM MON RIVER			40.069167	79.896944	
627401900040010 Highway agency district 12			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility				County Highway A	Agency [02]		
Route #Num! COAL CENTER BRIDGE Toll On free road [3] Features intersected PIKE RUN											
Design - steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach Oth	er [00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew ang Historical	1887 e 0	Structu	ure Flared	ucted 1984		his time. [4]
Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4] Total length 32.6 m = 107.0 ft Length of maximum span 30.8 m = 101.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.3 m = 4.3 ft											
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wea		pen Grating [3]									
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determi Method to determi Bridge posting	,		wable Stress(AS) wable Stress(AS)		C	nventory ratir Operating ratio		metric ton = metric ton =		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 500 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 1993 Future average daily traffic 3000 Year 1990						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
actionation of inducquate strongth. [66]	Length of structure improvement 39 m = 128.0 ft Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	e foundations determined	to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement	River control devices and evident. Debris is restrict	embankment protection have wic ting the channel slightly. [6]	lespread minor damage. There is				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Better than present minimum	n criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	32.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date								
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:						
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	two years [Y24] Other special inspection date July 2009 [0709]						