The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-41-49 =	076-36-06 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Bradford County [015]	Fran	ranklin [27344] SO.INT.RT.414		T350		41.696944	76.601667
087209035000130 Highway agency district 3			wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0 T350(CO.BR.NO.13)			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected TOWANDA			CREEK		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thru [aı	Design - pproach Other [00]		Kilometerpoint Year built 1904 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F		enot determinable at th	nis time. [4]
Total length 27.7 m = 90.9 ft Length of maximum span 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.1 m = 0.3 ft								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection	Open	n Grating [3]	J				ŭ	
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
		3 0	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating	17.2 metric ton = 18.9 tons 29 metric ton = 31.9 tons		
	Bridge posting 30.0	0 - 39.9 % below [1]			Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 77 Year 2031							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Dania coment Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 31 m = 101.7 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	Structure status Posted for load [P]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than miniming in place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate t	peing left Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	16.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2009 [0709] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						