HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-13-05.17 =	074-46-48.46
Pennsylvania [42]	Bucks County [017]		Morrisville [51144]	1BLK.DELAWARE RI	V. 39C03		40.218103	= -74.780128
7220	Highway ager	ncy district: 6	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance resp	oonsibility	County Highway Ag	gency [02]
Route 0	EAS	T TRENTON AVE.	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	eatures intersected	PENNSYLVA	ANIA CANAL	
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Frame [07]		Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 353 Year built 1938 Skew angle 0	3.9 km = 219.4 mi Year recons Structure Flared	tructed N/A [0	0000]	
Total length 21.3 m = 6	SO 0 ft	nath of maximum or	oan 18.3 m = 60.0 ft	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-or		t eligible for th	e NRHP. [5] way width, curb-to-cu	urh 12.2 m - 40.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total H	orizontal Clearanc	e 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk v			1	walk width - right	1.6 m = 5.2 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection		Concrete Cast-in-Pla Bituminous [6]	ace [1]					
Type of membrane/wear	ing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi		mine inventory rating mine operating rating			_	2 metric ton = 6 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	De	sign Load M 18 / F	H 20 [4]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 12754 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2018 Future average daily t	traffic 17528 Year 2032					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation cor	ntrol					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N	I/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral ur	nderclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance referen	nce feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 21000 Roadw	way improvement cost 63000					
actorioration of management of original [90]	Length of structure improvement 28 m = 91.9 ft	Total project cost 287000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A] Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	ndition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for assesse	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 81.9					
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date July 2018 [0]	718] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					