## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation							40-45-58.47 =	080-19-02.59
Pennsylvania [42]		Beaver County [007]		Beaver Falls [04792]	EASTVALE-BEAVER FALLS BR		40.766242	= -80.317386	
3630 Highway agency district: 11		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]		
Route 588 EASTVALE BR			Toll On fr	ee road [3]	eatures intersec	ted BEAVER R	,CSX RR, N-S RR		
Design - Steel continuous [4] Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 503.7 km = 312.3 mi  Year built 1961 Year reconstructed 1994			4				
3	3 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Ot		ther [00]	Skew angle 0  Historical significance	Structure FI Bridge is		e for the NRHP. [3]		
Total length 256 m = 839.9 ft Length of maximum span 109.7 m = 359.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 19.7 m = 64.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 15.8 m = 51.8 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Curb or side					vidth - left $0.3 \text{ m} = 1.0$	) ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with s					ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo			inforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Li	mits								
71			mine inventory rat	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	41.7 metric ton	= 45.9 tons	
1.6 km = 1.0 mi Method to determine ope		mine operating ra	ating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 69.9 metric ton = 76.9 tons  Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]				
Bridge posting Equal to or above			ve legal loads [5]	Des			)+Mod [6]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5692 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 6184 Year 2032							
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 18.9 m = 62.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median  Closed median with non-mountable barr							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.78 m = 15.7 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   6.75 m = 22.1 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 159000 Roadway improvement cost 467000							
action of measquate strong in [co]	Length of structure improvement 256 m = 839.9 ft Total project cost 2142000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		ng to slump. River control devices and ed movement evident. Debris is restric	d embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is cting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Superior to pre-	sent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 68.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date June 2017 [0	617] Des	signated inspection frequency 24	4 Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date October 2018 [1018]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date June 2017 [0617]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						