## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						39-51-00 =	077-48-00 = -		
Pennsylvania [42] Franklin County [055]		St. Thomas [67400]	St. Thomas [67400] BACK CR; WILLIAMSON		39.850000	77.800000			
17726 Highway agency district 8		Owner Town or Townsh	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility		Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]			
Route 0 Cedar Street T-481		Toll On fre	Toll   On free road [3]   Features intersected   BACK CRE						
Design - mainAluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9]Design - approach1Truss - Thru [10]0		Kilometerpoint     0 km = 0.0 mi       Year built     1876     Year reconstructed     N/A       [00]     Skew angle     0     Structure Flared       Historical significance     Bridge is eligible for the N							
Total length     36.3 m = 119.1 ft     Length of maximum span     35.7 m = 117.1 ft     Deck width, out-to-out     4.6 m = 15.1 ft     Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb     4.2 m = 13.8 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk		walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type	Op	en Grating [3]							
Type of wearing surface Other [9]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/	wearing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determine inventory rating0.3 km = 0.2 miMethod to determine operating rating				Inventory rating0 metric ton = 0.0 tonsOperating rating0 metric ton = 0.0 tons					
Bridge posting				Design Load					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1987 Future average daily traffic 133 Year 2032								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure   1   Approach roadway width   5.2 m = 17.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance   0 = N/A   Navigation horizontal clearance   0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge     Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway     6 m = 19.7 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement45 m = 147.6 ftTotal project cost0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state     Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Bridge closed	Appraisal ratings - structural								
Condition ratings - superstructur	ngs - superstructur Serious [3]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	roadway alignment		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
	Barks anu/or channel have mi	inor amounts of unit. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Stat	us evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection				Solonov rating	21.8				
			Suii	ficiency rating	21.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	IS								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2010	ction frequency 24	Month	S						
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspec	tion date							
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date		)192]				
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Other special inspe	Other special inspection date Augus		308]				