

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]		Columbia County [037]		Mount Pleasant [51792]	TOWN OF BLOOMSBURG		41-01-19.86 = 41.022183	076-28-25.00 = -76.473611
12713	Highway agency district: 3		Owner	State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 0		4009-MILLVILLE RD		Toll	On free road [3]		Features intersected FISHING CREEK	
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	266.3 km = 165.1 mi			
	1		Truss - Thru [10]	0	Other [00]	Year built	1930	Year reconstructed
				Skew angle	0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]			
Total length	48.5 m = 159.1 ft		Length of maximum span	46.9 m = 153.9 ft		Deck width, out-to-out	7.4 m = 24.3 ft	
			Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	6.6 m = 21.7 ft				
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	6.6 m = 21.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left	0.2 m = 0.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	34.5 metric ton = 38.0 tons
1.1 km = 0.7 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	61.7 metric ton = 67.9 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load	

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	5394	Average daily truck traffi	4	%	Year	2018	Future average daily traffic	8188	Year	2032
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	9.1 m = 29.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.55 m = 14.9 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	131000	Roadway improvement cost	386000
	Length of structure improvement	51 m = 167.3 ft	Total project cost	1770000
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	60.7
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	March 2018 [0318]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	June 2018 [0618]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	