HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informatio	n											40-40-12.40 =	075-14-15.50
Pennsylvania [42] Northampton County [095]					Easton [21648] HUGH MOORE PA			ORE PARK	'ARK - CANAL			40.670111	= -75.237639
28912 Highway agency district 5			5	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenar			ce respon	sibility	City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]			
Route 0 East [2] GLENDON HILL ROAD				ROAD	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LEHIGH RI				HIGH RIVE	R			
Design - Steel [3 main Truss -	3] Thru [10]	Design - approac		00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew angle Historical s	1905	Structure	Flared	cted 2012	determinable at	this time. [4]
Total length 108.8 m = 357.0 ft Length of maximum span 36.3 m = 119.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.5 m = 11.5 ft									curb 3.5 m = 11.5 ft				
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.5 m = 11.5 ft			11.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or side			urb or sidewa	alk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft				
Deck structure type Concrete Precast Par			ecast Pane	els [2]									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]			6]										
Deck protection													
Type of membran	e/wearino	g surface											
Weight Limits													
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventor			ry rating	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inv	entory rating	22.7 m	netric ton = 2	25.0 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below			letermine operati	ng rating	Load F	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Ор	erating rating	ating 39 metric ton = 4		9 tons	
			ı [3]			Des	sign Load	-					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic	300 Year 2030						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1	Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	·						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
·	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway im	nprovement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement 118 m = 387.2 ft	Total project cost 1000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ructure status Posted for load [P]			Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	e action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridg	e foundations determined	to be unstable. [[3]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being ero channel. [5]	ded. River control devices	s and/or embank	ment have major da	amage. Trees and rush	n restrict the			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirab	e criteria [9]							
Pier or abutment protection			S	ufficiency rating	50.2				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends								
Inspection date February 2013 [0213] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec	ction date	June 2010 [0610	0]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:	•	February 2013 [0213]					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date	February 2013 [0	0213]				