## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information			40-59-50 =	080-20-45 = -		
Pennsylvania [42] Lawrence County [073]	New Castle [53368] 400' E OF INT.W/SR 0018		40-39-30 = 40.997222	80.345833		
22403 Highway agency district 11	Owner State Highway Agend	y [01] Maintenance resp	State Highway Ag	ency [01]		
Route #Num! East [2] GROVE ST Toll On free road [3] Features intersected NESHANNOCK CREEK						
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 Other	Yea Yea Ske	meterpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  r built 1950 Year reconsi  w angle 40 Structure Flared  prical significance Bridge is not				
Total length 66.1 m = 216.9 ft Length of maximum span 32.3 m = 106.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.8 m = 45.3 ft						
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13.8 m = 45.3 ft	Curb or sidewalk width -	left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Pla	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinf	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface						
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 66	2 metric ton = 72.8 tons			
0 km = 0.0 mi  Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating 99.	8 metric ton = 109.8 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load MS 18 /	HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 4204 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic 9846 Year 2032				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14 m = 45.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0				
	Length of structure improvement 65 m = 213.3 ft Total project cost 1000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry				
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	t minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection	Itment protection		Sufficiency rating 81			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]				
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transitions		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected feature me		Inpected feature meets currently acce	meets currently acceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date April 2011 [0	411] Des	ignated inspection frequency 24	4 Months			
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	ded [N] Underwater inspection date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	Inspection date			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	needed [N] Other special inspection date				