HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						39-45-58.60 =	076-31-00.25
Pennsylvania [42] York County [133]		Fawn [25408] @ GROVE MILL/S		MUDDY	39.766278	= -76.516736	
38119 Highway agency district 8		Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Mainte		County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0	GRO	VE MILL RD.	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	eatures intersected SO BRA	ANCH OF MUDDY CREE	K
Design - Concrete [1] main Tee beam [04]	4]	Design - approach O Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1925 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed [I Structure Flared	N/A [0000]	
Total length 15.5 m =	50.9 ft Lei	ngth of maximum s	pan 14.3 m = 46.9 ft	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-ou	Bridge is not eligible to Bridge at 6.9 m = 22.6 ft Bridge	for the NRHP. [5] roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 5.8 m = 19.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total F	Horizontal Clearance	5.8 m = 19.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ fm}$	t Curb or	sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			J	Inve	entory rating 39.9 metric	ton = 43.9 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating			9	Оре	erating rating 66.2 metric	ton = 72.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load		

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily to	ruck traffi 2 % Year 2011 Future avera	ge daily traffic 253 Year 2030			
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 3 m = 9.8 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navig	gation control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearan	ce 0 = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	dge Minimu	um vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearan	ce reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Danais and Danie assured Diana					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0				
op.acs.nc.nc. [co]	Length of structure improvement 22 m = 72.	2 ft Total project cost 0			
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Better than present minimum criteria [7] Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determin	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protedebris are in the channel. [4]		ned. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable of	criteria [8]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 96				
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected feat		ature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Lucian and 227 [1]				
Inspection date June 2013 [0613] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months							
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	spection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date				