The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-23-59 =	075-39-07 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Lackawanna County [069]		Scranton [69000] SCRANTON CTY .1		.1 M E TR307		41.399722	75.651944	
356011019004520 Highway agency district 4		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintena		eresponsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0 SR6011 HARRISON AV Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SR 3022, ROARING BR, RR								
Design - Concrete [1] main Arch - Deck [11]]	approach	crete [1] - Deck [11]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1935 Skew angle 0	km = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F	constructed N/A [0	0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge i	s possibly eligible for	or the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 119.5 m = 3	92.1 ft Len	ngth of maximum sp	oan 61.6 m = 202.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out 13 m = 42.7	ft Bridge roadv	vay width, curb-to-	ourb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9		Curb or sidew	valk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Preformed Fabric [2]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating	16.3 metric ton =	17.9 tons	
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1			0	perating rating	27.2 metric ton =	29.9 tons		
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]			D	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 15424 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 18208 Year 2029								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	Iroad [Lanes under structure 8 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.3 m = 1.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 99.9 = Unlimited									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.95 m = 16.2 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 124.1 m = 407.2 ft Total project cost 2000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Ic	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minim	num criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations dete	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present des	sirable criteria [9]	Status evaluat	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				ing 2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends								
Inspection date November 2	009 [1109] Designated	l inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection Every two years [Y24]		Underwater inspe	ction date November	2009 [1109]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						