The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-09-41 =	079-45-36 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Westmoreland County [129]		South Huntingdon [7225] SMITHTON HI-LEVEL BRIDGE			40.161389	79.760000		
640070047400000 Highway agency district 12		Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Age	ency [01]		
Route 70 East [2] SR 0070			Toll On free	e road [3]	Features interse	cted CSXT R/R, T	WP RD, YOUGH	
Design - Steel continuo main	ous [4]	Design - Steel	[3]	'	082.4 km = 671.1			
6 Truss - Deck [09] 2		2 Girder	and floorbeam system [03]	Year built 1956 Skew angle 0	Structure F	constructed 2002		
				Historical significance	e Bridge i	s not eligible for th	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length 413 m = 1355.1 ft Length of maximum span 87.8 m = 288.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 21 m = 68.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 19.5 m = 64.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk wid	$oldsymbol{dth} - left $).7 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Mon		Monolithic Concrete (Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Ep		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inve		ermine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	ventory rating	27.2 metric ton =	29.9 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		perating rating	46.3 metric ton =	5.3 metric ton = 50.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			gal loads [5]	D	esign Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 16361 Average daily tr	uck traffi 29 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic	50000 Year 2	025				
Road classification	ban) [11] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway v	vidth 21 m = 68.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge medi	an Closed median with non-mountable bar				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	road [Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clear	ance over bridge roadway	10 m = 32.8 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 9 m = 29.5 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway im	provement cost 0					
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	otal project cost 0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent respo	onsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	13 [0]					
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		s in need of minor repairs. River conto annel have minor amounts of drift. [7]	ntrol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 66.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date September 2007 [0907] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ection date September 2009 [0909]					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	nspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					