The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-36-54 =	079-39-27 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Venango County [121]		Oilcreek [56480]	lcreek [56480] ON DRAKE WELL PARK ROAD		41.615000	79.657500		
601011001014800 Highway agency district 1			Owner State Highway A	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0 SR 1011,DRAKE WELL			Toll On fre	n free road [3] Features intersected OVER OIL C			CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main  Stringer/Mul	ti-beam or girder [0	Design - approach  O2] O Other [6]	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 kilometerpoint 1999  Skew angle 0  Historical significance	Structure F		[0000]  not determinable at tl	nis time. [4]
Total length 42.7 m = 140.1 ft Length of maximum span 41.1 m = 134.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.5 m = 11.5 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.5 m = 11.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place			e [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concre		Monolithic Concrete (co	ete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection Epoxy		Epoxy Coated Reinford	poxy Coated Reinforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	48.1 metric ton	= 52.9 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3 mi Method to determine oper		ermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating	80.7 metric ton	= 88.8 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					sign Load M	I3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 795 Average daily tru	ck traffi 5 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 1207 Year 2025							
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.1 m = 33.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	N/A [N]						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour	Countermeasure	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 68.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently ac	acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature meets currently ac	acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently ac	acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date June 2009 [0	0609] Des	ignated inspection frequency	24 Months						
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater ins	spection date June 2003 [0603]						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]		al inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special in	inspection date						