HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-19-33 =	078-55-34 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Cambria County [021]		Johnstown [38288] JOHNSTOWN(INCLINE PLANE)		PLANE)		40-17-33 =	78.926111	
113022001000000Highway agency district9			Owner State Highway A	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		onsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 0	SR 302	22	Toll On fre	e road [3] Feat	tures intersected	STONYCREE	K RIVER	
Design - Aluminum, Wr main Iron [9]	rought Iron or Cast 10]	Design - approach 0 Other	r [00]	Kilometerpoint0 km =Year built1890Skew angle0Historical significance	= 0.0 mi Year reconstru Structure Flared Bridge is on th			
Total length 72.2 m = 236.9 ft Length of maximum span 70.1 m = 230.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.6 m = 24.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.1 m = 16.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total H	orizontal Clearance	5.1 m = 16.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft		Curb or sidewa	alk width - right	1.4 m = 4.6 ft
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Invent	tory rating 15.4	metric ton = 1	6.9 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Opera	ating rating 20.9	metric ton = 2	:3.0 tons	
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]			ow [4]	Design	n Load M 13.5 / H	H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 1704 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2010	Future average daily traffic 1	1400 Year 2024	4			
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	h 5.8 m = 19.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - wa	y traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horiz	ontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.99 m = 13.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical	underclearance reference featur	Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by co	ontract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway impro	vement cost 1000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	89 m = 292.0 ft Tota	al project cost 3000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Borde	er bridge - percent respons	ibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Condition ratings - superstructur Good [7]		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundatior required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	sy Superior to prese	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 37.2					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently accept	ptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	IS	npected feature meets currently accept	ptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently accept	ptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	npected feature meets currently accept	ptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date June 2009 [0609] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					