HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-56-54 =	077-27-36 = -	
Pennsylvania [42]	Tioga County [117]		Deerfield [18552] 1.5 MI SW OF KI		KNOXVILLE		41.948333	77.460000	
587207040200040 Highway agency district: 3			Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 0	DEER	FIELD TWP T402	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Features intersected COWANESQUE RIVER					
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Truss - Thru	ן [10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1917 Skew angle 0	Structure F				
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 53.3 m = 174.9 ft Length of maximum span 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right									
Deck structure type Closed Grating [4] Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection		turiirious [o]							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	7.3 metric ton =	8.0 tons			
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		12.7 metric ton = 14.0 tons				
Bridge posting				Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 75 Average daily truck	c traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 116 Year 2031								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement 53 m = 173.9 ft Total project cost 1000								
,	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring h	nigh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	N/A [N]						
Condition ratings - deck Poor [4]		deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection				30.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2009 [0709] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:							
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date									