HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	Comprost County [11	1]	Quemehaning [(2144]				40-05-59 =	078-56-52 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Somerset County [11	1]	Quemahoning [63144]	.3 MI.SE.OF STOYSTO	JVVIN		40.099722	78.947778
557219066630480Highway agency district9		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 0	T666 L	ENHART ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected	STONYCREE	K RIVER	
Design - mainSteel [3]Design - approach1Truss - Thru [10]0Other		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1887 Year reconstructed 2002 [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NI		2HP. [2]				
Total length 29 m = 95.1 ft Length of maximum span 28.3 m = 92.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.9 m = 12.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.9 m = 12.8 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidew			valk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft	
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 7.3 metric ton = 8.0			.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		rating rating 10	g rating 10 metric ton = 11.0 tons		
				Desi	ign Load M 13.5	6 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 75 Average daily tr	truck traffi % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 94 Year 2029						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width3.7 m = 12.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 4 m = 13.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement36 m = 118.1 ftTotal project cost1000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 0						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	IS								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2009 [0	409] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	ection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	nspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date						