The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-31-12 =	079-35-06 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Venango County [121]		Cornplanter [16232] CORNPLANTER TOWNS		TOWNSHIP		41.520000	79.585000	
607205061440030 Highway agency district 1			Owner Town or Townsh	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			n or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 7205	T-61	4,LESHER ROAD	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER PITHOLE CREEK				
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 Other		[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1897 Year reconstructed 1996  Skew angle 45 Structure Flared  Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]					
Total length 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Length of maximum span 18.6 m = 61.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.5 m = 11.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.3 m = 10.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.3 m = 10.8 ft  Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]		Cuib of Sidewalk Wi	utii - ieit	0.0 11	Curb of sidewalk	widiii - rigiii	0 III – 0.0 It	
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing	ng surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  19.9 km = 12.3 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]  Load Factor(LF) [1]	` ',		19.1 metric ton = 21.0 32.7 metric ton = 36.0			
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]			w [3]		Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 70 Year 2027							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 2.4 m = 7.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 10 m = 32.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 24 m = 78.7 ft Total project cost 1000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for I	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]  Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for assesso	ssed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	led. River control devices	es and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the					
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 51.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approac	ch guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approac	ch guardrail ends							
Inspection date								
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspe	June 2009 [0609]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	inspection date					
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	spection date					