HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-27-08.90 =	076-30-30.85
Pennsylvania [42]	Lebanon County [075	5]	Union [78376]	LICKDALE			40.452472	= -76.508569
22744	Highway agend	cy district: 8	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 0	Lickda	ale Rd	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	cted Swatara Cr	eek	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1933	km = 0.0 mi Year red	constructed 199	6	
2 Truss - Thru [10]		Ot Ot	her [00]	Skew angle 47	Structure F	lared		
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	s eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 71.9 m	= 235.9 ft Len	igth of maximum	span 35.1 m = 115.2 ft	Deck width, out-to-	out $7.5 \text{ m} = 24.6$	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 6.8 m = 22.3 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizontal Clearance	6.8 m = 22.3 f	t Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	С	oncrete Cast-in-	Place [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	nce E	poxy Overlay [5]						
Deck protection	E	poxy Coated Re	inforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determ	ine inventory rat	ing Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	ventory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rat	Load Factor(LF) [1]	0	perating rating	55.3 metric ton	= 60.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or abov	ve legal loads [5]	D	esign Load M 1	8 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1863 Average daily to	uck traffi 7 % Year 2018 Future average daily traf	offic 3207 Year 2032						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	rol						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical c	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$ Minimum lateral underclearance on left $0 = N/A$								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 28000 Roadway	ay improvement cost 81000						
deterioration of madequate strongth. [55]	Length of structure improvement 78 m = 255.9 ft	Total project cost 372000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suf	fficiency							
Structure status	Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - o	deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge found	ations determined to be stable for the ass	assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection			ion is in need of minor repairs. River con r channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]	control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. [7]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to pre	sent minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating 63.7				
Culverts Not appl	licable. Used	if structure is not a c	ulvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected fe			Inpected feature meets currently according	cceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected			Inpected feature meets currently according	cceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected			Inpected feature meets currently according	ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Inpected feature meets currently according	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date September 2017 [0917] Designa		Designated inspection frequency 24	24 Months					
Underwater inspection Not need		Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	spection date				
		Every two years [Y2	4] Fracture critical in	l inspection date September 2017 [0917]				
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N]	Other special ins	nspection date				