

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]		Lancaster County [071]		Strasburg [74720]		LIME VALLEY		39-57-47 = 39.963056		076-13-47 = - 76.229722	
362030004000990		Highway agency district 8		Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 0		SR 2030		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected PEQUEA CREEK					
Design - main Concrete [1]		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 159.2 km = 98.7 mi							
3		Arch - Deck [11]		0		Other [00]		Year built 1927		Year reconstructed N/A [0000]	
								Skew angle 30		Structure Flared	
								Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]			
Total length 40.2 m = 131.9 ft		Length of maximum span 18.9 m = 62.0 ft		Deck width, out-to-out 7.6 m = 24.9 ft		Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft							
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]									
Type of wearing surface		Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection											
Type of membrane/wearing surface											

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length		Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating		32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi		Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating		49 metric ton = 53.9 tons	
Bridge posting		Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load		M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	383	Average daily truck traffi	9	%	Year	2010	Future average daily traffic	406	Year	2013
Road classification	Local (Urban) [19]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	6.1 m = 20.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]			
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	0	Roadway improvement cost	0	
	Length of structure improvement	48.8 m = 160.1 ft		Total project cost	1000
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]		
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]	Status evaluation	
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	66.7
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	May 2008 [0508]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y48]	Underwater inspection date	June 2004 [0604]
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	