HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inforr	mation														40-32-0	n4 _	075-47-15 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Berks County [011]				Maxatawny [48128] 1 MI.N.W.OF KUTZTOWN				40-32-0		75.787500							
5404 Highway agency				district 5		Owner	y Agency [0	2]	Maintenance responsibility			County Highway Agency [02]					
Route 907 LONG DAM RD.					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SACONY C					REEK							
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Girder and floorbeam system [03] 0 Other				Other	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1905						able at	this time. [4]					
Total length 25 m = 82.0 ft Length of maximum span 24.4 m = 80.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.3 m = 17.4 ft										curb 5.3 m = 17.4 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Deck structure type Not applicable [N]							urb or sidewalk w	iain - ieii	0 m =	U.U II			IN OF SIDE	ewalk width -	rigni	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]																	
Deck protection Unknown [8]																	
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]																	
Weight Lim	nits																
Bypass, det		Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating			rating	No	erformed [5]		Inventory	ventory rating 0 metric		ic ton = 0	= 0.0 tons				
19.9 km = 1	12.3 mi				rating	No	rating analysis pe	erformed [5]		Operating	erating rating	0 metric ton = 0		.0 tons			
Bridge posting								Design Lo	oad								

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 30 Average daily truck	raffi % Year 1979 Future average daily traffic 40	Year 2032								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approac	ch roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure ex	sts. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Featu	e not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left	t 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Dan sin and Dania assessed Diago										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
31	ork done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	idge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost	ost 0								
	ength of structure improvement 32 m = 105.0 ft Total project co	ost 0								
Y	ear of improvement cost estimate									
В	order bridge - state Border bridge - p	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
В	order bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Bridge close	Appraisal ratings - structural										
Condition ratings - superstructur		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	ria [6]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intol								
Condition ratings - deck	Critical [2]	deck geometry									
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]									
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Sta	atus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection			Su	ufficiency rating	30.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transition	IS										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail											
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends											
Inspection date April 2010 [0410] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months											
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date											
·	Unknown [Y06]	Fracture critical ins	•	July 2001 [0701							
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	vn [Y06] Other special inspection date October 2009 [1009]									