HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-43-54.62 =	076-47-38.51	
Pennsylvania [42] Northumberland County [097]		nty [097]	Jackson [37432] 1 MI SOUTH OF [ORNSIFE		40.731839	= -76.794031	
29234 Highway agency district: 3		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		onsibility Sta	ate Highway Age	ncy [01]		
Route 0 S.R. 3006			Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected OVER MAHANOY CREEK				
Design - Steel [3]		Design -		Kilometerpoint 407	.3 km = 252.5 mi				
main	[4.0]	approach	[0.0]	Year built 1940	Year reconsti	ructed 2001			
Truss - Thru [10]		0 Oth	er [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared				
				Historical significance	Bridge is not	eligible for the NI	RHP. [5]		
Total length 48.2 m	= 158.1 ft Lenç	gth of maximum :	span 46.6 m = 152.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	7.8 m = 25.6 ft	Bridge roadway	width, curb-to-cu	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 0.3 m = 1.0	ft	Curb or sidewalk	width - right	0.3 m = 1.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Co	ncrete Cast-in-P	lace [1]						
Type of wearing surface Me		Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck protection		Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory ratir	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 53.5	metric ton = 58.	9 tons		
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine oper			coad Factor(LF) [1]		perating rating 69.9 metric ton = 76.9 tons				
	Bridge posting E	Equal to or above	e legal loads [5]	Doc	ign Load				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 881 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 1036 Year 2032
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.54 m = 14.9 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 48 m = 157.5 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -					
Condition ratings - deck Good [7]		deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations	determined to be stable for assess	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is t channel. [5]	eing eroded. River control devices	s and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the				
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Better than present	Better than present minimum criteria [7] Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 77.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]					
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends						
Inspection date November 2	016 [1116] Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspe	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date November 2016 [1116]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date				